Suprachiasmatic Nucleus: Cell Autonomy and Network Properties
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Abstract

The suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) is the primary circadian pacemaker in mammals. Individual SCN neurons in dispersed culture can generate independent circadian oscillations of clock gene expression and neuronal firing. However, SCN rhythmicity depends on sufficient membrane depolarization and levels of intracellular calcium and cAMP. In the intact SCN, cellular oscillations are synchronized and reinforced by rhythmic synaptic input from other cells, resulting in a reproducible topographic pattern of distinct phases and amplitudes specified by SCN circuit organization. The SCN network synchronizes its component cellular oscillators, reinforces their oscillations, responds to light input by altering their phase distribution, increases their robustness to genetic perturbations, and enhances their precision. Thus, even though individual SCN neurons can be cell-autonomous circadian oscillators, neuronal network properties are integral to normal function of the SCN.
INTRODUCTION

Mammalian physiology and behavior are organized in a daily program that allows coordinated anticipation of the 24-h environmental light/dark cycle. To serve this purpose, mammalian cells contain circadian clocks composed of genes that interact in oscillatory transcriptional networks within cells (1, 2) and regulate the expression of many other genes critical for cell physiology and metabolism. Circadian regulation is remarkably pervasive: Nearly half of all mammalian genes are expressed rhythmically in one or more tissues (3). In recent years, there has been a growing recognition of the importance of clock genes and circadian regulation for health; circadian clock genes have been directly implicated not only in sleep disorders but also in diabetes, cancer, and bipolar disorder (4).

For proper functioning of the circadian timing system, all the circadian clocks in the body must be kept synchronized with one another and to the 24-h day; this is the function of the master circadian pacemaker, the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) (5). Like other cells (6), SCN neurons can generate autonomous circadian rhythms (7). But SCN neurons are special in several important respects. First, they receive direct photic input from the retina, which allows them to synchronize to the day/night cycle (8). Second, they have distinct, topographically organized coupling mechanisms, which allow them to remain synchronized to one another even in constant darkness (9). Third, they generate a pronounced circadian rhythm of neuronal firing frequency, which allows them, through a variety of direct and indirect output pathways, to synchronize other cells throughout the body (10). Thus, the SCN master pacemaker synchronizes (“entrains”) to the light/dark cycle and in turn synchronizes other subsidiary cellular oscillators. Further, as a result of internal coupling, the SCN also generates a coherent output signal even in the absence of a light/dark cycle, accounting for the “free-running” circadian (ca. 24 h) rhythms of physiology and behavior that persist under constant conditions.

But the SCN is more than just a set of coupled oscillator cells receiving photic input and providing coherent rhythmic output. Even though SCN neurons can oscillate independently, they are designed to be “team players” within a tissue, depending more on input from other cells to generate rhythms than fibroblasts do (11). Through phase diversity among its constituent cells, the SCN pacemaker can provide differently phased output signals to different tissues (12). Furthermore, changes in phase relationships among SCN neurons can alter the strength or waveform of output rhythms at the whole-animal level, accounting for effects of constant light (13), seasonal changes in day length (14), and certain mutations affecting SCN coupling (15). As a tissue, the SCN provides a much more precise rhythmic output signal than that generated by single cells (16). SCN rhythmic output is also more robust to genetic perturbations than that of single cells (17). In this review, we discuss the function of the SCN as a master circadian pacemaker tissue, focusing on cell autonomy and network properties.

BASIC STRUCTURE AND FUNCTION

Intracellular Mechanisms

The circadian clock that operates in mammalian cells is based on delayed negative feedback (18) in a core transcriptional feedback loop (4, 19). CLOCK/BMAL1 dimers act at E-box elements to promote transcription of a family of Period (Per1, Per2, Per3) and Cryptochrome (Cry1, Cry2) genes, leading to increases in PER and CRY levels. After delays associated with transcription, translation, dimerization, and nuclear entry, PER/CRY dimers inhibit transcription of their own genes. This, in concert with degradation regulated by the ubiquitin ligase complexes β-TrCP1 and FBXL3, leads to declines in PER and CRY levels, thus relieving the inhibition and permitting a new cycle to begin. In addition to this core loop, another negative feedback loop, in which...
REV-ERBα acts at ROR elements to inhibit Bmal1 transcription, also contributes to clock precision and robustness. Recent work reveals yet another important negative feedback loop linking the clock to cell metabolism (20, 21). Thus, the intracellular circadian timekeeper can be conceptualized as a genetic network, a web of interconnected negative feedback loops regulating transcription of core clock genes (2) and output genes.

On the other hand, investigators have recently questioned the view of a mammalian clock based exclusively on transcriptional mechanisms (22), especially in light of the dramatic demonstration that cyanobacterial circadian clock function can be reconstituted in a cell-free system with only three proteins and ATP (23). In particular, membrane depolarization, intracellular calcium, and cAMP appear to be important regulators of the mammalian transcriptional clock. Neuronal firing rhythms in SCN slices are calcium dependent (24, 25). Also, rhythmic expression of clock genes in SCN neurons requires sufficient membrane depolarization, cytoplasmic calcium, and/or cAMP (26–28). These effects may be mediated through calcium/cAMP response element binding protein (CREB), which, when activated by phosphorylation, binds to calcium/cAMP regulatory elements (CREs) on DNA. CRE sequences are found in the promoters of several core clock genes (29). Notably, CREs in Per1 and Per2 promoters bind CREB in SCN nuclear extracts (30). Furthermore, as membrane potential (31), calcium (25), and cAMP (28) are also rhythmic themselves in SCN, i.e., they are outputs of as well as inputs to the transcriptional clock, they may constitute positive feedback loops that contribute to rhythm generation, reinforcing the transcriptional cycle of the intracellular clock (11, 32, 33).

### Structure

The SCN is a paired neuronal structure located in the anteroventral hypothalamus, on either side of the third ventricle, just above the optic chiasm (5). In the mouse, each unilateral SCN contains ~10,000 neurons in two anatomic subdivisions: a ventral “core” region, which abuts the optic chiasm and receives retinal input, and a dorsal “shell” region, which partially envelops and receives input from the core (34) (Figure 1). The core projects densely to the shell, which projects only sparsely back to the core (35). Neuronal cell bodies in the SCN are fairly small (~10 μm), have simple dendritic arbors, and may be closely apposed. Neurons in core and shell subregions are distinguished by neurochemical content. Within each unilateral core are ~1100 neurons containing vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP; 10% of all SCN cells), and smaller numbers of AVP and GRP neurons.

---

**Figure 1**

Coronal section of mouse SCN, showing the ventral core region delineated by green fluorescent protein (GFP) expressed in GRP neurons (green) and the dorsal shell region delineated by immunofluorescent labeling for AVP (red). Between left and right SCN is the third ventricle, and below is the optic chiasm. Reprinted from Reference 185, with permission from the Society for Neuroscience.

---

**Abbreviations**

- ATP: adenosine triphosphate
- cAMP: 3′-5′-cyclic adenosine monophosphate
- CREB: calcium/cAMP response element binding protein
- CRE: calcium/cAMP response element
- VIP: vasoactive intestinal polypeptide
containing calretinin (900), neurotensin (NT; 700), and gastrin releasing peptide (GRP; 500). Within the shell are ~2100 neurons containing arginine vasopressin (AVP, ~20% of all SCN cells), ~1100 containing angiotensin II, and ~500 containing met-enkephalin. In most SCN neurons, neuropeptides are colocalized with GABA (36), though some cells may be glutamatergic (37). Most synapses among SCN neurons are GABAergic (38). SCN intrinsic anatomy and neuropeptides vary considerably across species (39), and are more complex than might be suggested by the simple core/shell terminology (40).

**Pacemaker Function**

Lesion and transplant studies have established that the SCN is the dominant circadian pacemaker in mammals. In rodents, complete SCN lesions abolish circadian rhythmicity in behavioral and endocrine variables (41, 42). Circadian rhythms can be partially restored to animals bearing SCN lesions by implantation of fetal SCN tissue (43), even in a genetically nonrhythmic host (44). In experiments using mutant animals with abnormally short or long circadian periods, the period of the restored rhythm is determined by the genotype of the SCN donor, not the SCN-lesioned host (44, 45). The SCN also generates pronounced circadian rhythms in frequency of spontaneous neuronal firing when physically isolated, either in vivo (46) or in vitro (47–49), although recent data indicate this may not be a unique property (50). The firing rhythm in SCN neurons is mediated partly by circadian regulation of membrane potassium channels (51).

**Glia**

The SCN is composed of glial cells as well as neurons, and glia could potentially contribute to pacemaker function. Glial astrocytes exhibit circadian rhythms of clock gene expression (52), and there are remarkable circadian variations in glial morphology in the SCN, as revealed by immunolabeling for glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) (53). GFAP mutant mice have altered locomotor activity rhythms in constant light (54). Also, fluorocitrate, a drug that inhibits glial metabolism, disrupts neuronal firing rhythms in SCN slices (55). Moreover, glial signaling can affect neuronal function in other brain areas (56–58).

**Input**

In the retinohypothalamic tract, axons of special photosensitive retinal ganglion cells (59) project to the SCN, where they release glutamate and pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide (PACAP) at synaptic contacts with SCN neurons (8). The neuropeptide PACAP has a modulatory role, enhancing the effects of glutamate (60). Glutamate acts at NMDA and AMPA receptors to depolarize the membrane, which leads both directly (through NMDA receptors) and indirectly (through voltage-sensitive channels) to calcium influx (61). Calcium activates a complex web of kinases [calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase (CaMK), mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), protein kinase A (PKA)], leading to phosphorylation of CREB (62). Activated CREB then induces transcription by binding to calcium/cAMP response elements (CREs) of genes, in cooperation with accessory proteins such as CREB binding protein (CBP). In the SCN, CREB phosphorylation at Ser133 and Ser142 occurs within minutes of a light pulse, but only during the subjective night, when light pulses are effective in shifting the phase of the clock (63, 64). This circadian “gating” of light input is achieved at least partly through circadian changes in sensitivity to glutamate at the receptor level (65, 66). CREB targets (as reported by CRE-βGal) are induced in the SCN by light, again only during subjective night (32). *Per1* and *Per2* promoters contain CREs that bind CREB (30) and are induced by light in SCN (67–69). Behavioral phase shifts are attenuated in mutant S142A mice in which CREB Ser142 phosphorylation cannot occur (64), and by CREB-decoy (70), *Per1* antisense (70, 71), or *Per2* antisense oligodeoxynucleotides (72).
in SCN. As Per1 is induced within 5–15 min of a light pulse and is involved directly in the core clock feedback loop, its induction could be largely responsible for clock resetting. However, other CREB targets may also play a role: c-fos and other immediate early genes also have CREs and are induced by light in SCN (though not as rapidly as Per1), and c-fos + junB antisense can block phase shifts (73). In any event, CREB-mediated transcription appears to be essential for photic resetting of the SCN clock. Photic input is modulated by various nonphotic inputs, notably from the thalamic intergeniculate leaflet (IGL) and the midbrain raphé (8).

**Output**

SCN output signals are largely mediated by circadian variation of neuronal firing and transmitter release at SCN axon terminals, but some data also indicate a role for humoral output. Modest circadian periodicity in locomotor activity can be restored after SCN lesions by implantation of fetal SCN tissue, even when encapsulated in a membrane that allows passage of small molecules but does not permit axonal fiber outgrowth into host tissue (74). SCN grafts do not, however, restore other rhythms (75). Furthermore, disruptions of SCN efferent axon function by knife cuts (46) or tetrodotoxin (TTX) (76) generally abolish circadian output rhythms, supporting a predominant role for specific neuronal projections in SCN output. The SCN core and shell regions send distinct efferent projections to fairly local primary targets, primarily in the medial areas of hypothalamus and thalamus (77). Factors secreted from SCN which may regulate behavioral rhythms include TGF-alpha (78), prokineticin-2 (79), and cardiotrophin-like cytokine (CLC) (80).

As a master pacemaker, the SCN synchronizes other oscillators throughout the brain (50) and peripheral tissues (10). This synchronization is accomplished through diverse pathways, including autonomic neural connections (81) and hormones (82–84), as well as less directly through circadian modulation of body temperature (85) and feeding behavior (86, 87). Rhythms in most tissues gradually damp out in the absence of the SCN (88). In the case of cultured fibroblasts, the damping is due to desynchrony among cells (6, 89). However, very sensitive reporters can still detect low-amplitude rhythms in some tissues after many days in vitro (90), so local coupling mechanisms could still be present in some non-SCN tissues, particularly retina (91) and olfactory bulb (92), which can oscillate persistently without the SCN. Thus, SCN signals coordinate rhythmicity among tissues, and also contribute to synchronizing cells within most tissues.

Some circadian rhythms in local tissues are driven by local circadian oscillators, but others are driven by the SCN. The olfactory bulb drives odor-sensitivity rhythms in SCN-lesioned mice (93). Genetic manipulations selectively disabling local oscillators have revealed that local clocks drive physiological rhythms in the retina (94) and liver (95), and that circadian regulation of gene expression in liver is driven partly by the liver clock and partly by signals emanating from the SCN (96) that drive feeding rhythms. Thus, the SCN not only synchronizes other oscillators, but can also drive rhythms in other tissues.

**SCN CELL AUTONOMY**

**Dispersed Cells**

Dispersed SCN neurons generate independent circadian oscillations in rate of spontaneous neuronal firing, as revealed by multielectrode recordings (7, 97–99). Cells in the same culture dish show rhythms with a wide range of periods, and therefore progressively varying phase relationships (Figure 2). Dissociating SCN cells apparently releases them from the coupling forces that normally keep them synchronized in vivo and allows the cells to oscillate independently, despite the development of abundant functional synaptic connections in the cultures. Phases of individual cells are preserved even after blocking neuronal firing with TTX (7). Only at very high cell densities can some limited coupling be detected (100). At low density, phases of the cellular rhythms are
randomly distributed. Thus, individual SCN neurons cultured at low density are autonomous circadian oscillators, in the sense that they do not require coordinated rhythmic input from other cells to generate circadian rhythms of firing rate.

**Period Determination**

The circadian period imposed by the SCN master pacemaker (44, 45) is determined at the level of a single cell, as revealed by studies of mutant SCN neurons. Behavioral circadian
rhythms of tau mutant hamsters have short periods, and SCN neurons from those hamsters also have short periods (97). Behavioral circadian rhythms of Cry2−/− mice, on the other hand, have long periods, and SCN neurons from those mice also have long periods (17). Similar results apply for mice and SCN neurons heterozygous for the Clock d19 mutation, which exhibit long periods (99). Thus, the circadian period measured at the whole-animal level reflects the intrinsic properties of circadian oscillators within individual SCN neurons; in this sense, circadian period is a cell-autonomous property.

**PER2::LUC Imaging**

One limitation of multielectrode studies is that only a small minority of cells within a local area can be recorded, leaving open the possibility that small clusters of SCN neurons may form local coupled networks, and that these networks may be necessary for rhythmicity. This possibility was addressed by bioluminescence imaging of PER2 expression in dispersed SCN neurons (17). Cells were cultured from PER2::LUC knockin mice, expressing a reporter protein containing PER2 fused to firefly luciferase, to reflect both transcriptional and posttranscriptional regulation (90). In this study, phases of cells were randomly distributed by 6–7 days after a medium change (Figure 3). Even among cells less than 500 μm apart, cells that were closer together did not tend to have more similar phases or periods (17; D.K. Welsh & S.A. Kay, unpublished results). This observation rules out any measurable local coupling, and confirms that SCN neurons are indeed autonomous oscillators, in the sense that no net rhythmic input is necessary for them to oscillate.

**Isolated Cells**

Cells were not completely isolated in these experiments, however, so it was still possible that SCN neuron rhythms might require tonic input from other cells. This possibility was addressed in a recent study from Erik Herzog’s group, in which SCN neurons were cultured at various densities (101). In multielectrode array experiments, reducing cell density to ~500 cells/sq mm reduced the proportion of rhythmic cells from 61% to 33%. In addition, SCN neurons

---

**Figure 3**

A movie of circadian rhythms of PER2::LUC bioluminescence recorded from mouse SCN neurons in dispersed culture over a period of two weeks, showing that the cells oscillate independently. Bioluminescence intensity of one cell highlighted near the center of the image is plotted below. To access the video associated with this image, please go to [http://arjournals.annualreviews.org/r/WelshFigure3-Video](http://arjournals.annualreviews.org/r/WelshFigure3-Video).
were cultured from PER2::LUC mice at very low density (100 cells/sq mm). In these cultures, only 27% of cells expressed PER2 at detectable levels for 3 days, but of these cells 65% (252/386) were rhythmic. In a few cases, a single rhythmic neuron was selected, and all other neurons in the same dish were mechanically ablated; 3 out of 5 of these isolated neurons remained rhythmic. Thus, tonic input from other cells is not absolutely required for SCN neurons to oscillate, but it does appear to increase levels of PER2 expression and the proportion of rhythmic cells.

**Subtypes?**
Not all SCN neurons are rhythmic, and rhythmic cells have different periods (7, 98); this raises the question of whether there might be stable functional subclasses of SCN neurons, identifiable on the basis of neuropeptide expression or other molecular markers. Early studies, however, found too high a proportion of rhythmic cells (50–60%) to be readily accounted for by any single neuropeptide population (7). Herzog’s group recently addressed this issue by combining PER2::LUC imaging with immunolabeling for neuropeptides (101). They found no association between circadian phenotype (rhythmic/not, period, or amplitude) and neuropeptide expression (AVP or VIP). Moreover, mutant SCN neurons sometimes exhibit rare, intermittent PER2 oscillations (17), suggesting that rhythmicity could be a stochastic phenomenon even in wild-type SCN neurons. Indeed, wild-type SCN neurons can switch from rhythmic to nonrhythmic (or vice versa), either spontaneously or upon adding forskolin (101). Furthermore, PER2::LUC rhythms persist in a minority of SCN neurons during application of TTX, but this is not a stable, reproducible subpopulation (101). Thus, there is no evidence that cell-autonomous circadian phenotypes define stable, identifiable subtypes of SCN neurons.

**Non-SCN Cells**
Cell-autonomous circadian oscillation is not exclusive to SCN neurons. Circadian rhythms have long been observed in unicellular organisms, including Gonyaulax (102, 103) and cyanobacteria (104, 105). In the latter, imaging of luciferase reporters confirms cell-autonomous oscillations (106). In isolated basal retinal neurons of the sea snail *Bulla gouldiana*, circadian rhythms of membrane conductance have been measured (by cross-sectional sampling) (107). Zebrafish cells exhibit cell-autonomous rhythms of clock gene expression, monitored by bioluminescence imaging (108). Chick pinealocytes in dispersed culture release melatonin in a circadian rhythm (109). In mammals, clock gene expression is rhythmic in many non-SCN tissues (88) and cell populations (110). Although non-SCN rhythms do tend to damp out gradually over time, low-amplitude rhythms persist for many days (90). PER2::LUC imaging of cultured fibroblasts reveals that individual fibroblast oscillations persist without damping, and that the damping observed at the population level is due to gradual loss of synchrony among cells (6). Cells that are closer together do not have more similar phases, but rather oscillate independently. Similar results were obtained in NIH3T3 cells using a fluorescent reporter of *Rev-Erbα* (89). Thus, like SCN neurons, mammalian fibroblasts (and probably most other cell types) are autonomous circadian oscillators, leading to the suggestion that perhaps “the rat-1 fibroblast should replace the SCN as the in vitro model of choice” (111).

**Limits of Autonomy**
Although the unassuming fibroblast may be a good substitute for the SCN neuron from the point of view of a molecular biologist studying molecular mechanisms of the intracellular clock (112), there is clearly much more to the SCN than its cell-autonomous circadian oscillation (113–115). Unlike a population of fibroblasts, the SCN is a neuronal network of coupled cellular oscillators, with a specific pattern of interconnections. As a tissue, the SCN shows specific modes of coupling mediated by specialized mechanisms, provides more robust and more precise time-keeping relative to single cells, responds to light input in complex and
Figure 4

(a) Schematic diagram of intercellular signaling mechanisms within SCN, showing that action potentials arriving at synaptic terminals of core neurons trigger release of GABA and colocalized neuropeptides such as VIP or GRP. VIP, for example, binds to postsynaptic VPAC2 receptors of shell neurons, leading to membrane depolarization, elevated calcium and cAMP levels, phosphorylation of CREB, and induction of Per and Cry transcription, thus altering the phase and amplitude of the intracellular oscillator. Adjacent SCN neurons are also coupled by gap junctions. (b) The SCN network synchronizes and reinforces cellular oscillations, increases precision, and rescues rhythmicity of mutant oscillators. Reprinted from Reference 211, with permission from McMillan Publishers Ltd.

flexible ways, and produces variously phased output signals. In addition, unlike in other cells, the amplitude of the SCN intracellular clock is highly responsive to and dependent on intercellular signaling, which effectively reinforces the collective rhythmicity of the network and may silence errant cells. SCN neurons are not designed to function alone.

SCN COUPLING

The most prominent network property of the SCN is the remarkable coupling of its constituent cellular oscillators (Figure 4) to produce a coherent circadian oscillation at the tissue level. In striking contrast to the independent oscillations of dissociated cells, neurons within SCN tissue adopt identical circadian periods and similar phases. Coordinated rhythms of clock gene expression can be measured by two complementary approaches: at one point in time for the entire SCN in vivo by in situ hybridization (mRNA) or immunohistochemistry (protein), or longitudinally in an SCN slice in vitro by bioluminescence imaging using Per1::luc or PER2::LUC reporters (116, 117) (Figure 5). PER cycling is much more pronounced in shell than in core, where it is reliably detected only by the more sensitive in vitro methods. In each circadian cycle, activation of PER expression begins in dorsomedial SCN, and proceeds ventrally and laterally, followed by marked activation of an “inner shell” or “cap” just dorsal to the core. Deactivation tends to proceed in reverse order, like a tide. This general pattern of dorsal AVP-rich shell peaking earlier than ventral VIP-rich core is consistent with patterns of neuropeptide release from SCN slices (118). A minority of cells, however, may be ~12 h out of phase with the population...
A movie of circadian rhythms of PER2::LUC bioluminescence recorded from mouse SCN neurons in a cultured slice over a period of seven days, showing that the cells oscillate with the same periods and similar phases, in a complex spatiotemporal pattern. This is a coronal slice of the ventral hypothalamus, including the bright bioluminescent left and right SCN, the third ventricle between them, and the optic chiasm below. To access the video associated with this image, please go to http://arjournals.annualreviews.org/r/WelshFigure5-Video.

The precise relative phasing of individual cells is preserved from cycle to cycle, as well as after manipulations that delay or stop the clock (by inhibiting protein synthesis with cycloheximide) or severely damp gene expression rhythms (by inhibiting neuronal firing with TTX), suggesting that it is a function of SCN circuitry (116). One potential advantage of the dispersal of phase among different cell populations within the SCN is that it provides an opportunity for multiple, variously phased output signals, for more flexible regulation of peripheral rhythms (12). Thus, when SCN tissue organization is preserved in vivo or in slice preparations in vitro, SCN neuron oscillations are generally coherent, but follow a complex, consistent pattern of distinct phases and amplitudes. This argues strongly that SCN coupling is mediated by specific neural circuits, as opposed to homogeneous coupling schemes involving uniform direct neuronal interactions (97, 121) or a diffusible coupling factor (122).

**Synapses**

Consistent with the involvement of specific neuronal connections, experimental evidence indicates that neuronal firing and chemical synapses are required for circadian coupling within SCN. Blocking action potentials with TTX for several days allows SCN neurons in slice culture or high-density dispersed culture to desynchronize (116, 123). In multielectrode studies of dispersed cells, excitatory or inhibitory synaptic interactions between cells can be detected by the presence of positive or negative cross-correlations of neuronal firing with short synaptic delays (7). In a study by Shirakawa et al. (124), circadian firing rhythms of 81 out of 126 SCN neuron pairs were not synchronized; short-term firing was not correlated within these pairs. Circadian firing rhythms of 42 pairs were synchronized with a small phase angle; for these pairs, cross-correlations indicated an excitatory synaptic interaction. Circadian firing rhythms of three pairs were synchronized with a larger phase angle; for these pairs, cross-correlations indicated an inhibitory interaction. Thus, there was a perfect correlation between circadian synchrony and presence of either excitatory or inhibitory synaptic interaction. These data strongly implicate neuronal firing and chemical synaptic interactions in SCN coupling.

**Gap Junctions**

Electrical synapses (gap junctions) might also be involved in SCN coupling, though the evidence is less compelling. An early indication that nonsynaptic mechanisms may be important was that circadian rhythms of metabolic activity (measured by 2-deoxyglucose uptake) appear uniform throughout E19 embryonic rat SCN (125), even though chemical synapses are rare in the SCN at this stage (<1/cell) (126). Also,
short-term firing synchrony can be observed in SCN slices even when synaptic transmission is blocked by use of calcium-free medium (127). Subsequent studies have demonstrated dye coupling in SCN slices, i.e., dyes introduced into one neuron diffuse into others, presumably through gap junctions (128). The coupling is inhibited by hyperpolarization, is lower in subjective night phase, and occurs selectively within dorsal or ventral SCN but not between these subregions (129). There is also direct evidence of electrical coupling between SCN neurons, which is eliminated in knockout mice lacking the neuronal gap junction protein connexin-36 (Cx36) (130). Functionally, these Cx36-knockout mice have weak locomotor activity rhythms, which would be consistent with compromised SCN coupling, but this has not been directly tested, and the relatively modest effect on behavioral rhythms has not yet been replicated using mice on a uniform genetic background. In SCN slices from wild-type mice, the gap junction blockers octanol or halothane disrupt or damp circadian rhythms of neuronal firing or neuropeptide release (55, 131), but these agents are relatively nonspecific. Finally, a recent study found modest numbers of very small Cx36-containing gap junctions between SCN neurons by freeze-fracture electron microscopy (132). These studies suggest that electrical synapses via gap junctions may contribute to SCN coupling, but conventional chemical synapses are probably more important.

VIP

Within the core, VIP is the most prevalent neuropeptide transmitter, and recent studies strongly implicate VIP in SCN coupling. VIP is released rhythmically from the core (131) and acts at VPAC2 receptors in both core and shell (137). VIP depolarizes most SCN neurons by closing potassium channels (138). It also induces Per1 and Per2 expression, at least partly via protein kinase A (PKA) and CREB (139). In mutant mice lacking VIP or its receptor, SCN cells are hyperpolarized (138) and have low levels of Per1 and Per2 expression (140). These mice also have weak behavioral rhythms (140, 141), often with multiple period components (100). In SCN slices from these mutant mice, neuronal firing (142, 143) and clock gene rhythms (reported by Per1-GFP, Per1-luc, or PER2::LUC) (15, 144, 145) are suppressed, largely due to desynchrony among cells (15, 143, 144). The extent of desynchrony correlates (across genotype) with the degree of

**GFP**: green fluorescent protein
behavioral disruption in the mice from which the slices are taken (145). Similar but less dramatic results are observed in high density dispersed SCN neurons: The limited coupling present in wild-type cells is absent in mutant cells (100). Daily application of a VIP agonist to mutant SCN cultures restores synchrony (100), even in the presence of GABA antagonists (146). Finally, VIP application to (synchronized) wild-type SCN in vivo (147) or in vitro (148, 149) produces phase-specific phase shifts similar to those induced by light. Thus, the synchronizing function of the SCN core requires VIP release from synaptic terminals at target neurons throughout the SCN.

**GRP, NT, and GABA**

Other transmitters located in SCN core neurons may also play a role in SCN coupling. GRP, which is found in ∼4% of SCN neurons, acts through BB2 receptors to induce Per1, Per2, and c-fos expression in the dorsal SCN (150). Like VIP, GRP produces a light-like, phase-dependent pattern of phase shifts when applied to the SCN, either in vivo (150) or in vitro (151). In SCN slices from mice lacking receptors for VIP, acutely applied GRP induces Per1 expression and synchronizes cells (15). Neurotensin (NT), which is present in ∼7% of SCN neurons, also has phase-shifting effects on SCN slices (152). The most common transmitter in the SCN is GABA, which is present in most or perhaps all SCN neurons, including those of the core (36). Although GABA is classically inhibitory, it may be excitatory under some conditions in SCN (136, 153, 154). GABA, through GABAA receptors, causes phase shifts when applied to dissociated SCN neurons, and daily application synchronizes them (153). On the other hand, neurons in SCN slices remain synchronized in the presence of GABAA and GABAB antagonists (146), indicating that GABA is sufficient but not necessary for SCN coupling. Finally, inhibiting Gαi/o G protein function with pertussis toxin (PTX) causes desynchronization of neurons in SCN slices, suggesting that another unidentified ligand may be important for SCN coupling (146). In summary, VIP is necessary for SCN coupling, but other transmitters including GRP, neurotensin, and GABA likely also contribute or play a modulatory role.

**EFFECTS OF LIGHT ON SCN COUPLING**

SCN coupling is altered by light input. For example, the phase distribution of SCN neurons is different in slices from mice kept in a light/dark cycle versus constant darkness (156). Also, shifts in the light/dark cycle (jet lag), seasonal changes in day length (photoperiod), or certain artificial lighting schedules perturb coupling in characteristic ways.

**Jet Lag**

After a shift in the light/dark cycle, the retinoreceptive SCN core shifts more quickly than the dorsal shell, which can shift only after receiving coupling signals from the shifted core. This lag of the shell, which accounts for part of the “lag” in jet lag, can be detected in vivo by in situ hybridization for clock genes (157) as well as in vitro by electrophysiology (136) or Per1-luc imaging (158). Single-cell PER2::LUC imaging reveals that after a 6-h advance of the light/dark cycle, SCN cells are initially more widely distributed in phase, that more dorsal cells shift more slowly, and that full resynchronization of SCN cells requires at least 8 days (159). Consistent with a role for VIP in this process, VIP application to the SCN produces light-like phase-dependent phase shifts in vivo (147) or in vitro (148, 149), and behavioral phase shifts after a shift of the light/dark cycle are accelerated in mice overexpressing the VPAC2 receptor for VIP (160). Mice lacking this receptor have reduced or absent behavioral phase shifts (141), although this could be due to aberrant effects of light signals in the core (161, 162). GABA may also contribute to this process, as the GABAA antagonist bicuculline blocks transmission of excitation from core to shell during the resynchronization after a delay of the light/dark cycle (136).
**Photoperiod**

Seasonal changes in day length (photoperiod) in rodents result in changes in waveform of circadian rhythms, notably locomotor activity and melatonin release, the latter being an important signal for seasonal changes in reproductive function and other physiological processes (163). These changes in waveform of output rhythms reflect changes within the SCN, including alterations in clock gene expression and neuronal firing rhythms (164). Based on analysis of activity rhythms in hamsters, Pittendrigh & Daan (165) proposed decades ago that photoperiod might be encoded in the phase relationship between separate evening (E) and morning (M) oscillators. Consistent with this idea, SCN neuronal firing rhythms are broader (166) or even bimodal (167) in long photoperiod. Furthermore, single-cell firing peaks are relatively narrow (168), and do not change with photoperiod (169), leading to the conclusion that photoperiod is encoded in the phase distribution of single-cell oscillators within the SCN.

Regional differences in how SCN cell rhythms respond to photoperiod complicate this picture somewhat (170). For instance, under long photoperiod, single-cell firing rhythms are indeed more widely distributed in ventral SCN, but the single-unit profiles themselves may be wider in dorsal SCN (171). Also, rostral and caudal SCN cells respond differently to changing photoperiod (172). Single-cell *Per1-luc* imaging reveals that caudal SCN cells track activity offset (dawn), whereas rostral SCN cells track activity onset (dusk) but also adopt a bimodal phase distribution in long photoperiod (14). Although the precise encoding of photoperiod is not yet fully understood, the SCN clearly responds to seasonal changes in day length by changing the phase distribution of its single-cell oscillators.

By this mechanism, seasonal changes in day length alter the waveform of the SCN output signal, modulating behavioral rhythms and inducing melatonin-mediated changes in reproductive function (163). The seasonal change in single-cell phasing also explains why the SCN is more sensitive to phase-shifting effects of light in winter: In short days, single-cell rhythms of light sensitivity (“phase response curves”) are more tightly aligned in phase, leading to enhanced aggregate phase-shifting responses (173).

**Partial Decoupling**

Exotic lighting schedules can induce various modes of partial SCN decoupling, revealing “fracture planes” of weakness in coupling among SCN subregions. Constant light can induce behavioral arrhythmicity, with cellular desynchrony throughout the SCN (13, 174) or, in hamsters, can sometimes induce “splitting,” in which two separate components of behavioral activity oscillate independently and then adopt a stable antiphase relationship ∼12 h apart. Remarkably, in situ hybridization reveals corresponding antiphase rhythms of clock gene expression in left and right SCNs of split hamsters, indicating decoupling between left and right SCN (13, 175). Some cells within the core, however, seem to oscillate strongly in phase with the contralateral SCN in these animals (176). In rats, short light/dark cycles (e.g., 22 h) can induce a different type of decoupling, in which SCN core and shell oscillate independently (177). This decoupling has been used as an experimental tool to show that the circadian rhythm of REM sleep is predominantly under the control of the shell subregion (178). A similar phenomenon induced by even shorter light/dark cycles (e.g., 12 h) (179) may also reflect decoupling of core and shell (180). These data indicate that coupling is stronger within left and right SCN, and within core and shell, than across the boundaries of these subcompartments.

**SCN NETWORK REINFORCES CELLULAR RHYTHMICITY**

Another critical feature of the SCN network is that it reinforces cellular rhythmicity in individual SCN neurons, through rhythmic input from other cells in the network. Stimuli activating...
CREB can affect amplitude as well as phase of cellular rhythms (181). As noted above, rhythmic expression of clock genes in SCN neurons requires sufficient levels of membrane depolarization, cytoplasmic calcium, and cAMP (26, 28). Furthermore, at least in the case of cAMP, rhythmic drive (not just some minimal permissive level) is required for full-amplitude clock gene rhythms in SCN slices (28). Therefore, either tonic or rhythmic synaptic input that depolarizes cells or increases calcium or cAMP levels should reinforce cellular rhythmicity (11, 33). VIP, for example, which has an important role in SCN synchrony, increases cAMP levels and depolarizes SCN neurons (138), and would therefore be predicted to reinforce SCN cell rhythms. Conversely, manipulations that disrupt such intercellular signaling should impair rhythmicity in SCN neurons.

Effects of disrupted VIP signaling have been studied extensively in knockout mice lacking VIP or its VPAC2 receptor, and there is substantial evidence of impaired rhythms in these mice. Knockout mice have weak activity rhythms in constant darkness (100, 140, 141). Neuronal firing rhythms in SCN slices from these mice are suppressed (142), even at a single-cell level (100, 143, 182). Neuronal firing rhythms are also weaker and less prevalent in dissociated SCN neurons (100). Clock gene rhythms of SCN neurons in slice cultures are also suppressed (15, 144), though not always (145). In dispersed cultures, rhythms can be restored by daily application of a VPAC2 receptor agonist (100). In slice cultures, they can be restored by application of GRP (15, 182) or depolarization with 40 mM potassium (15). One study found that firing rhythms were weaker in mice lacking the VPAC2 receptor than in mice lacking VIP until adding a receptor antagonist (143); this suggests that PACAP, a transmitter that acts at the same VPAC2 receptor and is released from retinal afferent terminals in the SCN core, may reinforce rhythms in the core, just as VIP reinforces rhythms in both core and shell.

Disrupting SCN intercellular communication by mechanical dissociation, TTX, or PTX also impairs rhythmicity. SCN neurons in low density dispersed culture exhibit a lower prevalence of rhythmic clock gene expression (~65%) (17, 101) than in SCN slice cultures (~95%) (15, 116). Blocking neuronal firing with TTX dramatically and reversibly damps clock gene rhythms in both SCN slice (116, 162) and dispersed cultures (D.K. Welsh & S.A. Kay, unpublished data). Disrupting signaling via PTX-sensitive G proteins also damps rhythms of SCN cells in slices (146); the corresponding ligand is unknown, but to reinforce rhythms by reducing CREB activation through $G_{i/o}$ it would have to act rhythmically and peak during subjective night.

The relative contributions of rhythmic versus tonic input of the SCN network in reinforcing cellular rhythms are not clear, but decoupling is not invariably accompanied by suppression of rhythms. Constant light desynchronizes Per1-GFP rhythms of cells in SCN slices without substantially suppressing their amplitude (13, 174). Similar results were found in a recent study of knockout mice lacking VIP (145). Also, some completely isolated SCN neurons can generate strong PER2::LUC rhythms (101). It appears that if an SCN neuron is sufficiently depolarized, either autonomously or through synaptic input, then it will be rhythmic, but that rhythmic input can further reinforce rhythms.

Reinforcement of cellular rhythmicity by the SCN network is not uniform throughout the SCN; it is greater in the SCN shell than in the core. Clock gene rhythms are more prominent in the shell than in the core, whether measured in vivo (183–185) or in SCN slices (15, 117). Neuronal firing rhythms are also more prevalent in the dorsal shell than in the ventral core of SCN slices (118). However, when dissociated, AVP shell neurons and VIP core neurons do not differ in prevalence, amplitude, or period of PER2::LUC rhythms (101). Thus, the position of these cells in the SCN circuit, and the associated balance of synaptic input, may alter membrane potential, calcium, cAMP, or other signals, thereby specifying the region-specific variations in rhythmic phenotypes seen in vivo or in SCN slices.
ROBUSTNESS OF SCN NETWORK

The coupled SCN network is more resistant to genetic perturbations than single SCN neurons. The first evidence for this principle came from a multielectrode study of SCN neurons from Clock d19 mutant mice (186), which express a dominant negative form of CLOCK (187). In this study, SCN neurons homozygous for this mutation were compared to wild-type cells in both slice and dispersed cultures. As expected, cellular rhythms were less prevalent in dispersed cultures than in slices, due to loss of reinforcement by the SCN network. However, only 20% of mutant neurons were rhythmic in dispersed culture (versus 46% of wild-type cells), whereas 77% were rhythmic in slice cultures (versus 95% of wild-type cells). Thus, effects of the Clock d19 mutation are more pronounced in the absence of the SCN network. More dramatic results were found in a recent PER2::LUC imaging study of SCN neurons and fibroblasts from knockout mice lacking functional Cry and Per genes (17). In this study, dispersed Cry2−/− neurons (and fibroblasts) exhibited strong PER2::LUC rhythms with long period, similar to Cry2−/− neurons in SCN slices. In contrast, even though neurons in Per1−/− and Cry1−/− SCN slices also exhibited strong rhythms, dispersed Per1−/− and Cry1−/− neurons (and fibroblasts) had very weak rhythms (Figure 6). These mutant cells were able to generate only rare, intermittent

Figure 6

Patterns of PER2::LUC bioluminescence recorded from mutant Cry1−/− neurons in a cultured slice (a, c) or in dispersed culture (b, d) over a period of six days. Bioluminescence images are shown above (a, b), from indicated times in hours after start of the experiment. Raster plots are shown below (c, d), with each horizontal line representing one cell, and values above and below the mean are shown in red and green, respectively. Cry1−/− neurons are rhythmic and synchronized in SCN slices (c) but are not rhythmic in dispersed culture (d). Reprinted from Reference 17, with permission from Elsevier. 3V, third ventricle. Scale bar, 500 µm.
rhythmicity, such that when sampled for 6–7 days only 5–10% had detectable rhythms. This indicates that the SCN network is substantially more robust to certain genetic perturbations, and probably other kinds of perturbations as well, compared to SCN neurons that are oscillating independently in dispersed culture.

These findings are consistent with theoretical results showing that a multicellular, coupled SCN network should provide redundancy and increased robustness to noise (121, 188) and to variance of single-cell periods (189), as well as to genetic perturbations that impair single-cell rhythmicity (17).

DETERMINATION OF A PRECISE PERIOD

SCN neurons interact within the SCN to determine a compromise period in the intact SCN network. This is consistent with several studies showing that dispersed mutant cells exhibit periods similar to the behavioral periods of corresponding mutant animals in vivo (17, 97, 99, 186). It has also been demonstrated directly in a genetic chimera experiment (190). In this study, SCNs of chimeric mice were composed of a mixture of wild-type cells and Clock d19 mutant cells, which exhibit a long period in vitro. Behavioral rhythms of these mice often showed intermediate circadian periods. Thus, neurons of different periods can interact within an SCN network to determine a single compromise circadian period of the whole SCN pacemaker.

The period of the intact SCN pacemaker is more precise compared to the periods of independently oscillating SCN neurons. The idea that a coupled population of circadian oscillators can be more precise than its isolated components originated with Enright (191). When circadian rhythms of dispersed SCN neurons were first observed in multielectrode studies, the cells were found to exhibit a remarkably wide range of circadian periods, e.g., range 21–26 h, SD 1.2 h (7, 97–99, 192), substantially greater than cells in SCN slices, or mice on running wheels. Herzog et al. (16) examined this phenomenon in detail, in a study comparing neuronal firing rhythms of dispersed SCN neurons, Per1-luc rhythms of SCN slices, and running wheel activity rhythms of mice. The SDs of circadian period across samples were 1.28 h for cells, 0.32 h for slices, and 0.13 h for mice. Mice and slices with longer periods (near 24 h) were more precise. The median cycle-to-cycle SDs within samples were 2.07 h for cells, 0.66 h for slices, and 0.42 h for mice. Expressed as percentages of mean circadian period, the values were 8.8% for cells, 2.7% for slices, and 1.8% for mice. Thus, mice were remarkably precise (cycle-to-cycle SD <2% of period), comparable to previous results (193), and mice or slices were significantly more precise than single cells.

Cycle-to-cycle variability of circadian period can be partitioned into pacemaker variance and variance due to other processes downstream from the pacemaker, using a procedure developed by Pittendrigh & Daan (193). This procedure is based on the concept that a negative serial correlation (i.e., shorter cycles tend to follow longer cycles, and vice versa) reflects variability in lag time between pacemaker and output. Using this procedure, Herzog et al. (16) found that SDs for cycle-to-cycle variability due to the pacemaker alone were only 0.76 h for cells, 0.52 h for slices, and 0.32 h for mice. Thus, much of the extra variance seen in cells relative to slices or mice may be due to variance in processes downstream from the pacemaker, so it would be interesting to repeat this experiment using a more direct measure of clock function in single cells, such as PER2::LUC imaging.

QUANTITATIVE MODELS

Several models of the coupled SCN network have been developed. Even a model using very simple phase-only relaxation oscillators can capture a remarkable range of circadian behavior (194). However, most recent models use more realistic, heterogeneous cellular oscillators (17, 188, 189, 195, 196). In one model focusing on the special role of the core in SCN coupling, there are “gate cells” in the core and “oscillator cells” in the shell (197, 198). Gate
cells integrate the output from oscillator cells; when this output exceeds a threshold, it triggers a signal to synchronize oscillator cells. This model accounts for SCN synchrony in constant darkness and entrainment to light/dark cycles within a limited range of periods.

One of the most realistic models so far is that of Bernard et al. (188), comprising a heterogeneous set of damped cellular oscillators, and a coupling agent that induces Per/Cry transcription through cAMP and CREB. Connectivity patterns are adjustable to simulate the three-dimensional (3D) SCN in vivo or the two-dimensional (2D) SCN slice, and there are separate core and shell compartments. In this model, synchronization is tighter for 3D than for 2D configurations, and more robust with more than \(~40\) cells, or higher connectivity. Noise can either promote or impair synchrony, depending on whether it is uniform or not. After a shift of the light/dark cycle, there is a 2-day lag of the core and a 10-day lag of the shell. The period of the coupled system is approximately equal to the mean of the uncoupled single cells, but slower oscillators have higher amplitude, and thus a disproportionate effect on the period of the coupled system. Single cells, even though they are defined as damped oscillators, can oscillate autonomously with autocrine feedback, even without input from other cells. Reducing sensitivity to the coupling agent damps network oscillations, simulating TTX or VIP knockout results. The model predicts that cells will lose rhythmicity in the presence of constant high levels of the coupling agent. Another interesting prediction is that coupled cells either synchronize or damp, but do not run out of phase, so they do not interfere with the network.

A recent model by Locke et al. (189), a refinement of an earlier model by Gonze et al. (122), also makes some interesting predictions: (a) synchronization may be more efficient for damped oscillators than for sustained oscillators, (b) realistically fast resetting after a shift of the light/dark cycle is possible when VIP reinforces cellular rhythms by positive feedback, even if only some cells are directly retinorecipient, and (c) a system of coupled damped oscillators is robust to large variance of cellular oscillator periods.

**PARALLELS IN DROSOPHILA**

The function and organization of the SCN network have striking similarities to the *Drosophila* circadian system. At the cellular level, the mammalian and *Drosophila* clocks are both composed of a web of interlocked transcriptional feedback loops, and many of the molecular components of the *Drosophila* clock have one or more homologs in the mammalian clock (199). Depolarization, calcium, and cAMP are important for intracellular clock function in both SCN and *Drosophila* clocks, and the mammalian work in this area has been inspired by *Drosophila* studies (200–202). Just as remarkable are similarities at the network level (203, 204). In the SCN, ventral cells containing the neuropeptide VIP transmit photic information to dorsal cells, and photoperiod is encoded in phase distribution of component cellular oscillators. Similarly, in the *Drosophila* clock, ventral cells containing the neuropeptide pigment dispersing factor (PDF) transmit photic information to dorsal cells, and photoperiod is again encoded in phase distribution of component cellular oscillators (205, 206). Mice lacking VIP have disrupted behavioral rhythms; SCN neurons from these mice are uncoupled and show impaired rhythmicity. Similarly, flies lacking PDF have disrupted behavioral rhythms (207); clock neurons from these flies are uncoupled and show impaired rhythmicity (208–210). Thus, the mammalian SCN is remarkably similar to the *Drosophila* circadian clock; in the coming years, advances in one species will continue to inspire investigators studying the other, as we continue to explore the mysteries of the circadian clock.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) is the primary circadian pacemaker in mammals. Individual SCN neurons are capable of generating independent circadian oscillations of neuronal firing rate and clock gene expression...
in dispersed culture. On this basis, it is commonly agreed that single SCN neurons are autonomous circadian oscillators. However, SCN neurons deficient in certain molecular clock components (Cry1<sup>−/−</sup> or Per1<sup>−/−</sup>) can generate only rare, intermittent oscillations in dispersed culture, whereas rhythmicity is intact in Cry1<sup>−/−</sup> or Per1<sup>−/−</sup> SCN slice preparations or in vivo. This suggests that SCN network properties can compensate for certain genetic defects, conferring on the SCN a robustness to perturbations that is lacking in single cells. This same principle may also apply to genetically intact SCN cells, as clock gene rhythms are substantially more prevalent among wild-type SCN neurons in slice preparations (>95%) than in dispersed culture (~65%). Furthermore, the circadian periods of dispersed SCN neurons show substantial variability, both among cells and from cycle to cycle in a single cell, whereas circadian periods are synchronized and more precise when SCN tissue organization is preserved. Thus, it is clear that SCN network properties are important for synchronizing SCN cellular oscillators to one another to produce a precise, coherent output signal, as well as for synchronizing to the environmental light/dark cycle. Genetic, pharmacological, and selective dissection studies indicate that the ventral core region of the SCN plays an important role in this synchronization process. The complexity of the SCN circuits required for such synchronization is illustrated by the specific, topographically ordered sequence of clock gene activation across the SCN, which depends on an intact SCN core. Thus, even though individual SCN neurons can be cell-autonomous oscillators, neuronal network properties are integral to normal function of the SCN.

**SUMMARY POINTS**

1. SCN neurons in dispersed culture can generate independent circadian oscillations of clock gene expression and neuronal firing.
2. However, SCN neurons are not always rhythmic: Sufficient depolarization, cytoplasmic calcium, and cAMP levels are required to sustain oscillations.
3. In the SCN network, synaptic interactions synchronize and reinforce cellular oscillations, producing a specific topographic pattern of oscillations of distinct phases and amplitudes.
4. The retinorecipient ventral core of the SCN and the VIPergic neurons it contains play a particularly important role in synchronizing SCN cells to one another and to the light/dark cycle.
5. Light input to the SCN alters the coupling and phase distribution of its component cellular oscillators in characteristic ways that reveal the network’s structure and function.
6. The SCN network increases the robustness of cellular oscillators to genetic perturbations and enhances their precision.
7. Many features of SCN function and organization have parallels in *Drosophila* circadian pacemaker neurons.
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