Image simulation in high resolution transmission electron microscopy considering atom as an electrostatic interferometer

Winter school 6-10 December 2021

Dr. Usha Bhat

Research Associate

TEM sample preparation lab

CPMU, ICMS

JNCASR

Introduction to image simulation

Aberration corrected FEI TITAN^{3TM} 80-300 kV

Image formation in TEM



Simulated HRTEM images

MoS₂ BN



Existing methods of image simulation

1. Zernike phase object and WPOA

Zernike phase object $F(x) = e^{i\phi(x)}$ or $\sim 1 + i\phi(x)$

WPOA image intensity $I(x, y) = \{1 + 2\phi(x)\}$

$$I(x,y) = 1 + 2\sigma\phi_p(-x,y) * \mathcal{F}\{sin\chi(u,v)P(u,v)\}$$

- Pure phase/weak scattering object
- Intensity has linear dependency on the phase
- Without the WPOA, no information available at the image plane

2. Atomic scattering factor

Mohere scattering factor

$$f_e(k) = \frac{2\pi i}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty J_0 (2\pi kr) \{1 - \exp[i\sigma \int V(x, y, z)dz]\} r dr$$
Intensity

$$g(x) = \left|1 + 2\pi i \int_0^{k_{max}} f_e(k) \exp[-i\chi(k)] J_0(2\pi kr) k dk\right|^2$$

- Moliere scattering factor is considered
- Intensity values are calculated for



- > WPOA intensity varies linearly and it is very high
- Scattering factor based intensity is not linear and it is still high
- Experimental data shows change in intensity is non-linear

3

Theory v/s experiment

Introducing a new method : Atom as an electrostatic interferometer



- > Off-axis e- holography : Elliptical wave front and interference due to single pair of scattering wave vectors
- > New method : Circular wave front and interference due to a range of scattering wave vectors
- \blacktriangleright Zones are based on the same range of scattering angles & intensity from different zones scaled as $2\pi r$
- > Modified intensity equation with the flux balance calculates the image pattern

U. Bhat and R. Datta 2021, J. Phys. Commun. 5, 085004 (2021)

Results and discussion

Different zones and corresponding intensity pattern



- > Zones with varying defocus (Δf) of 1, 4 and 8 nm
- Width of 3rd zone is increasing as
 Δ*f* increases
- Image of an atom calculated as a sum of all zones

<u>Mo (Z=42)</u>					<u>B (Z=5)</u>					1st zone 1 nm	50	2nd zone 1 nm
Radial distance (pm) & zones	Rim widt h (pm)	Mean scatter ing angle (rad)	Length on optic axis (nm)	Intensity (with flux balance)	Radial distance (pm) & zones	Rim widt h (pm)	Mean scatterin g angle (rad)	Length on optic axis (nm)	Intensity (with flux balance)		-4.5 -4.0 -3.5 -3.0 -2.5 -2.0	- 7. - 6. - 6. - 5. - 5. - 5. - 4.
1-1.77	0.77	0.1092	1	6.82	1-2.16	1.55	0.014	1	5.09		- 1.5	- 3.
1.77-8.37	6.6	0.0275	1	7.61						3rd zone 1 nm		Total image
8.76-9.54	0.78	0.0092	1	13.73	2.16-4.49	1.64	0.0069	1	7.77		- 14.8	- 17
8.76-15.36	6.60	0.0067	4	9.93	2.16-7.99	6.21	0.0052	4	7.31		- 14.6	- 12
					2.16-	8.93	0.0049	8	6.76		14.4	- 10
8.76-18.85	10.09	0.0061	8	11.03	10.31						- 14.2	- 7.
				1 1								

Comparison of the experimental results with the new method of image simulation

Without aberration

With aberration



- > Peak intensity falls significantly compared to the ideal lens case after considering the aberration
- Simulated result with aberration is in good agreement with the experimental data
- > Intensity calculated is proportional to $Z^{03\sim0.4}$

 $I = a Z^b$ **b** \approx **0.3-0.4**

Summary

- New method of image simulation is introduced in HRTEM considering atom as an electrostatic interferometer and the results match well with the experimental values.
- Intensity is proportional to $Z^{0.4}$.

$$a_{rad\,int}(r) = a_1^2 + a_2^2 + 2a_1a_2\cos(2\pi q_c r) * 2\pi(r_{max} - r)$$



Acknowledgments

- I sincerely thank my research supervisor Prof. Ranjan Datta for his constant support, guidance and encouragement.
- I sincerely acknowledge Prof. C.N.R. Rao for being a constant source of inspiration and for providing world class facilities at ICMS and JNCASR.
- ✤ I thank JNCASR for the financial support.